Feedback in radio-quiet quasars

Nadia Zakamska Johns Hopkins University



Overview



From galaxy formation: Quasar feedback likely necessary for limiting maximal mass of galaxies, reheating intracluster medium

Mechanism, energetics

Strong observational evidence for radiatively-driven quasar winds on galaxy-wide scales

Strong observational evidence for jet-driven feedback

Which mechanism is more important in which situation?

On the nature of the radio emission in radio-quiet quasars

Energy is available! 1 g of matter accreted = radiation = enough energy 3 to throw out 5 kg of matter

Needs to be coupled to the gas

Radiatively driven winds ("linedriving")

Jet-driven winds (bow-shock + cocoon)

Bomb in galaxy center



Proga et al 2000 Murray et al. 1995

Energy is available! 1 g of matter accreted = radiation = enough energy to throw out 5 kg of matter

Needs to be coupled to the gas

Radiatively driven winds ("linedriving")

Jet-driven winds (bow-shock + cocoon)

Bomb in galaxy center



V.Gaibler et al.

- Initial high velocity wind slams into clumpy ISM
- Carves channels through clouds, propagates along paths of least resistance
- Clouds accelerated, destroyed, recreated
 - Multi-phase wind
 - For galaxy formation: typically 1-5% of L_{bol} needs to be converted to L_{wind} in simulations



Wagner et al. 2013

- Initial high velocity wind slams into clumpy ISM
- Carves channels through clouds, propagates along paths of least resistance
- Clouds accelerated, destroyed, recreated
 - Multi-phase wind

For galaxy formation: typically 1-5% of L_{bol} needs to be converted to L_{wind} in simulations



Springel, Hopkins, DiMatteo, Cox, Hernquist et al.

- Radio-quiet quasars z=0.5
- Integral field spectroscopy: obtain a spectrum at every point in field of view
- Emission lines ⇒ Doppler effect ⇒ Kinematics of gas in 2D
- Guilin Liu & NZ et al. 2013a, 2013b, 2014a, 2014b in prep.
- Gemini telescope (obtained through NOAO)



- Key observations: the entire galaxy is affected
- Line-of-sight velocity \Rightarrow one side approaching, one side receding.
- Line-of-sight velocity dispersion ⇒
 typical outflow velocity=800 km/sec
 Likely will escape from the galaxy
 - Line asymmetries characteristic of outflows



- Key observations: the entire galaxy is affected
- Line-of-sight velocity ⇒ one side approaching, one side receding.
- Line-of-sight velocity dispersion ⇒
 typical outflow velocity=800 km/sec
 Likely will escape from the galaxy
 - Line asymmetries characteristic of outflows



- Key observations: the entire galaxy is affected
- Line-of-sight velocity \Rightarrow one side approaching, one side receding.
- E Line-of-sight velocity dispersion ⇒
 typical outflow velocity=800 km/sec
- Likely will escape from the galaxy
- Line asymmetries characteristic of outflows



- Key observations: the entire galaxy is affected
- Line-of-sight velocity \Rightarrow one side approaching, one side receding.
- Line-of-sight velocity dispersion ⇒
 typical outflow velocity=800 km/sec
 Likely will escape from the galaxy
 - Line asymmetries characteristic of outflows



- Key observations: the entire galaxy is affected
- Line-of-sight velocity \Rightarrow one side approaching, one side receding.
- Line-of-sight velocity dispersion ⇒
 typical outflow velocity=800 km/sec
- Likely will escape from the galaxy
- Line asymmetries characteristic of outflows



- Key observations: the entire galaxy is affected
- Line-of-sight velocity \Rightarrow one side approaching, one side receding.
- Line-of-sight velocity dispersion ⇒
 typical outflow velocity=800 km/sec
 Likely will escape from the galaxy
 - Line asymmetries characteristic of outflows



Getting mass, energy estimates is very difficult

- Small dense clouds produce emission lines
- Much of the wind is invisible in these observations, density / mass uncertain
- Methods to estimate the energetics of the process

Find 2% efficiency for conversion from luminosity to wind.



Liu, NZ, et al. 2013b

2. Feedback in radio-quiet quasars: super-bubbles

- Winds look for the path of least resistance
- In disk galaxies, expect them to "break out" perpendicular to galaxy plane
- Have several candidates
- Energy estimates using completely different method: also a few % (still large uncertainty)



Liu, Zakamska, et al. 2013b



Greene, Zakamska, Smith 2012, Greene, Pooley, Zakamska, et al. 2014

2. Feedback in radio-quiet quasars: multi-phase

Multi-phase winds:

hot, volume filling, invisible component

cooler denser clumps (ionized, neutral, molecular)

Ionized – emission lines

Molecular – ALMA!

350 Msun/year, will deplete in 10⁶ years



Mrk 231: Feruglio et al. 2010 CO emission, dM/dt=710 M_{sun}/year E_{kin}=4.4x10⁴⁴ erg/s, extended (3kpc)



Sun, Greene, Zakamska, Nesvadba 2014

3. Observations: radio-loud quasars and radio galaxies

- Direct evidence of jet expelling galaxy gas (especially high z)
- Interactions between radio lobes and cluster gas
- Do radio galaxies solve all our problems?
- Yes for clusters? What about galaxy luminosity function?
- (1) minority of AGN population
- (2) very interesting differences between hosts of RL and RQ quasars



Observations of extended ionized gas, z=2-3 Nesvadba et al. 2006/08, M=10¹⁰Msun, v>800km/s

3. Observations: radio-loud quasars and radio galaxies

- Direct evidence of jet expelling galaxy gas (especially high z)
- Interactions between radio lobes and cluster gas
- Do radio galaxies solve all our problems?
- Yes for clusters? What about galaxy luminosity function?
- (1) minority of AGN population
- (2) very interesting differences between hosts of RL and RQ quasars



McNamara (ARAA)

Distribution of radio power is very broad many (>5) orders of magnitude (faint end hard to probe)

Is it a smooth or a bi-modal function?

Is the mechanism of production of radio emission the same (just scaled up and down) or different?

Why do we care? – Is every black hole capable of producing a jet? Or are jet-producing BH special?



Ivezic et al. 2002 distribution of radio-to-optical ratios



Kimball et al. 2011

Correlation between line width (=outflow velocity) and radio luminosity

These are "the 90%": faint point sources (socalled "radio-quiet"), not much known about these

We propose that quasar-driven shocks accelerate particles, produce radio emission

Different from the usual assumption that jets accelerate gas



Zakamska & Greene 2014

Correlation between line width (=outflow velocity) and radio luminosity

- These are "the 90%": faint point sources (socalled "radio-quiet"), not much known about these
- We propose that quasar-driven shocks accelerate particles, produce radio emission
- Different from the usual assumption that jets accelerate gas





Correlation between line width (=outflow velocity) and radio luminosity

- These are "the 90%": faint point sources (socalled "radio-quiet"), not much known about these
- We propose that quasar-driven shocks accelerate particles, produce radio emission
- Different from the usual assumption that jets accelerate gas



This is a very interesting object!

Energetics: bolometric luminosity 8e45 erg/sec \Rightarrow 4% conversion to wind (3e44 erg/sec) \Rightarrow standard ratio for star forming galaxies (1e40 erg/sec)

- Star formation insufficient by a factor of 2-10.
- Difficult to distinguish from compact jets (although see luminosity function...)



Zakamska & Greene 2014

Summary

Radiatively-driven or jet-driven winds propagate into gas-rich host galaxy: shocks, cloud acceleration / destruction

Recent observations of quasar winds across different wavelengths

Indicate wind power up to a few per cent of the bolometric luminosity

