Gaps in tidal streams



Denis Erkal University of Surrey

Stellar halos across the cosmos MPIA, July 4th 2018



Milky Way Substructure



Aquarius, Springel et al. 2008 Image credit:ESA/Hubble & NASA

Tidal Streams from Globular Clusters





Smooth Potential

Lumpy Potential

Interaction with substructure

Ibata et al. 2002, Johnston et al. 2002



Outline

- How do gaps grow/evolve?
- How many gaps are expected in the known streams around the Milky Way?
- Gaps in known streams

Outline

- How do gaps grow/evolve?
- How many gaps are expected in the known streams around the Milky Way?
- Gaps in known streams

Analytic Toy Model for Gaps

Setup

- Stream on circular orbit
- No position/velocity dispersion
- Plummer sphere perturber
- Arbitrary spherical host potential
- Arbitrary impact geometry



Approach

- Impulse approximation for velocity kicks
- Compute resulting orbits at first order
- Compute resulting stream shape
- Similar to Carlberg 2013, Yoon, Johnston, Hogg 2011

Cartoon of Gap Formation

Orbital Mechanics 101 aka Football in Space

Gap Formation (also in Space)



N-body example



Same picture roughly holds for realistic streams

- Simple model misses two important aspects:
 - Streams are not generally on circular orbits
 - Stream material has a distribution in E,L



Outline

- How do gaps grow/evolve?
- How many gaps are expected in the known streams around the Milky Way?
- Gaps in known streams

Outline

- How do gaps grow/evolve?
- How many gaps are expected in the known streams around the Milky Way?
- Gaps in known streams

Streams around the MW

~ 15 globular cluster streams around MW



Pal 5, Odenkirchen et al. 2002 Ibata et al. 2016



Tri/Psc - Bonaca et al. 2012 Martin et al. 2014

Streams in DES



Shipp + 2018

How many subhaloes fly near the stream?

• Flux through cylinder around stream (same approach as Yoon et al. 2011, Carlberg 2012)





N_{enc} ~ (number density)x(stream length)x(stream age)

• Also get velocity distribution

How many subhaloes fly near the stream?

- Pal 5
 - ~3.4 Gyr old (Kuepper et al. 2015)

Ibata et al. 2016

- # density of subhaloes scaled down from Aquarius (Springel et al. 2009)
- length from observations (Odenkirchen et al. 2002)
- disk depletes substructure by 3 (D'Onghia et al. 2010, Penarrubia et al. 2010, Sawala et al. 2016)

 $\begin{array}{l} 10^{5}\text{--}10^{6}\ M\odot:\ \sim 26\ \text{within}\ 2\ r_{s}\\ 10^{6}\text{--}10^{7}\ M\odot:\ \sim 10\ \text{within}\ 2\ r_{s}\\ 10^{7}\text{--}10^{8}\ M\odot:\ \sim 4\ \text{within}\ 2\ r_{s} \end{array}$

Erkal, Belokurov, Bovy, Sanders 2016

How many gaps are created?

- Use gap size and gap depth from model
- Subhalo properties from VLII (Diemand et al. 2008)
 - Match M-v_{max} relation with Plummer spheres
- Know number of interactions, sample properties of flyby, get distribution of gap properties



Erkal, Belokurov, Bovy, Sanders 2016

Properties of Gaps

• Distribution of gap sizes for LCDM spectrum from 10⁵-10⁸ M⊙



Gap size

Guides the scale on which to search for gaps Erkal, Belokurov, Bovy, Sanders 2016

So... how many gaps?



Outline

- How do gaps grow/evolve?
- How many gaps are expected in the known streams around the Milky Way?
- Gaps in known streams

Outline

- How do gaps grow/evolve?
- How many gaps are expected in the known streams around the Milky Way?
- Gaps in known streams

- Nearby cold/long stream (~ 1km/s dispersion, ~10 kpc long)
- Progenitor still intact
- Deep data with CFHT (Ibata et al 2016)
- Proper motion for progenitor (Fritz & Kallivayalil 2015)
- Radial velocities along stream (Odenkirchen et al 2009, Kuzma et al 2015)



Belokurov/SDSS

- How should unperturbed stream look?
 - Equal amounts of material in leading and trailing arm
 - Symmetric density since no significant distance gradient (Ibata et al 2016)
 - Relatively smooth density along stream with little small scale structure
 - Epicyclic over densities near progenitor



Erkal, Koposov, Belokurov 2017

- 2 gaps
 - ~ 2 degrees (10⁶-10⁷ M☉)
 - ~ 9 degrees (10⁷-10⁸ M☉)
- Observed width is more uniform

Expected 0.7 gaps so ~3x LCDM



Angle along stream

 10^{6} - 10^{7} M $_{\odot}$ ~ 9-18 keV thermal relic WDM

Erkal, Koposov, Belokurov 2017

- Alternative mechanisms
 - GMCs (Amorisco+ 2016): 10⁶-10⁷ M_☉ within solar circle (Rice + 2016), 0.65 gaps expected
 - Globular clusters: < 1/6 rate expected from subhaloes (Erkal, Koposov, Belokurov 2017)
 - MW Bar: Rotating bar creates differential torque along stream (Erkal, Koposov, Belokurov 2017, Pearson+2017)
 - MOND can create asymmetries in tidal streams (Thomas+2018, Wu+2010)



Erkal, Koposov, Belokurov 2017

- Alternative statistical approach
 - Measure power spectrum/bispectrum of density fluctuations (Bovy, Erkal, Sanders 2017)
 - Streams and perturbations generated in actionangle space (Sanders, Bovy, Erkal 2016)
- Idea (ABC)
 - Select normalization of LCDM subhaloes
 - Perturb stream with subhalo flybys
 - Keep if power/bispectrum on large scales matches data
 - Get constraint on LCDM normalization



1400

Realizations



Tested with N-body streams

• Pal 5 consistent with 1.5-9 LCDM, consistent with gap counting





Pal 5 inference



Gaps in GD-1 CFHT data 0.8 0.6 0.95 0.4 0.9 0.2 0.85 $\Delta \phi_2$ (deg) Stream 0 0.8 z on sky -0.2 0.75 -0.4 0.7 -0.6 0.65 -0.8 0.6 -55 -50 -45 -40 -35 -30 -25 -20 -15 -10 φ₁ (deg) 50 45 density (stars/deg²) 40 Stream 35 density 30 25 20 15 -50 -30 -20 -55 -45 -40 -35 -25 -15 -10 ϕ_1 (deg) Angle along stream

Simulation



- Hard to interpret since no progenitor
- Wiggles and density variations
- Still working on interpretation

Gaps in GD-1 Gaps confirmed with Gaia Price-Whelan & Bonaca 2018 5 $\phi_2 \, [\mathrm{deg}]$ -80-70-50-60-40-30-100 ϕ_1 [deg] 50 45 density (stars/deg²) 40 Stream 35 30 density 25 20 15 -10 -15 -55 -50 -45 -40 -35 -30 -25 -20 φ₁ (deg) Angle along stream de Boer + 2018

3 gaps in GD-1 Wiggles in the stream track, stars off-stream

Gaps in GD-1

Progenitor disruption creates a gap



Recovering Subhalo Properties

- Gap properties depends on 7d parameter space:
 - Subhalo mass
 - Scale radius
 - 3 velocities
 - Impact parameter
 - Time since impact
- Can we constrain these from observations of a gap?



Stream

Stream observables

• Analytic model predicts 6d shape of perturbed stream



10⁷ M⊙, r_s=250 pc

Angle along stream



Observational Strategy

Pal 5 GD-1

 \checkmark

- Measure density and centroid along stream
- Look for density variation with accompanying
 wiggle
- Follow up with radial velocities
 In progress
- Develop tools to model gaps in real streams In progress
- Fit gap!

Conclusions

- Kicks from subhaloes change orbital periods and create gaps
- Expect ~1 deep gap per long stream
- Pal 5 contains 2 gaps and is consistent with ~ 3x LCDM
- Small gap consistent with 10^{6} - 10^{7} M $_{\odot}$ subhalo, > 9-18 keV WDM
- GD-1 has 3 gaps (1 from progenitor?), ~ 3x LCDM
- Next step: perform inference for observed gaps
- Can be used for constraints on any DM model