# Investigating high-mass star formation with ALMAGAL Robust temperatures and luminosities of cores in a thousand high-mass cluster-forming regions

# **Beth Jones**

Gary Fuller, Georgie Stroud, Tianwei Zhang, Thomas Möller, Álvaro Sánchez-Monge, Judith Beck, Eleonore Dann, ALMAGAL team **PIs: Sergio Molinari (I), Peter Schilke (D), Cara Battersby (US), Paul Ho (Tw)** 



**ALMAGAL Overview: A Recap** 

# The ALMAGAL Survey

Cycle 7 ALMA Large Program Pls: Sergio Molinari (I), Peter Schilke (D), Cara Battersby (US), Paul Ho (Tw)





Spatial resolution of ~1000 AU

Continuum sensitivi-

ty of 0.1 mJy (0.3 M\_)

10,000 spectral channels at 1.5, 0.3 km/s resolution



Clumps selected from Hi-GAL / RMS

- $M > 500 M_{\odot}$ ٠
- $\Sigma > 0.1 \, \text{g cm}^{-2}$ ٠
- $F_{350um} > 5Jy$
- $\delta$  < 0° and D < 7.5 kpc ٠

Technical working group lead: Álvaro Sánchez-Monge

Joint deconvolution of all configurations 3 years 12M CPU hours @ JSC 450TB FITS products

> Come and talk to me about array combination!

**ALMAGAL Overview: A Recap** 

# The ALMAGAL Survey

Cycle 7 ALMA Large Program PIs: Sergio Molinari (I), Peter Schilke (D), Cara Battersby (US), Paul Ho (Tw)



## Science goals

For a Galaxy-wide sample and as a function of evolution:

- Fragmentation and CMF
- Chemistry
- Clump-level SFH
- Intra-clump core dynamics
- Core(s)-clump feedback
- Outflows & Disks

## Motivation for large program

With a large, coherent data set, we can:

- Remove statistical biases
- Break degeneracies due to projection effects
- Determine means + spread and probability distributions
- Correlate with clump properties, environmental effects etc.
- Compare with simulations

# Definitions: what is a core?\*

Alessandro Coletta et al. (in prep; Tuesday talk)

\* A definition relevant for this talk, not the definition.



03.5<sup>s</sup> RA (J2000) 03.0<sup>s</sup>

134169

Clump = whole ALMA field of view/H GAL compact source:

~pc scale

N(H<sub>2</sub>) ~ 10<sup>5</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>

Core = compact sources:

~ 1000 au scales in ALMAGAL

 $N(H_2) \sim 10^6 - 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ 

Pokhrel et al. (2018)

# Definitions: what is a core?\*

Alessandro Coletta et al. (in prep; Tuesday talk)

\* A definition relevant for this talk, not the definition.



# The motivation for temperature determination

$$M = \frac{R_{\rm dg} F_{\rm int} d^2}{B_{\nu}(T) \kappa_{1.3}}$$
$$B_{\nu}(T) = \frac{2h\nu^3}{c^2} \frac{1}{e^{h\nu/k_B T} = 1} \approx \frac{2\nu^2 k_B}{c^2} T$$
$$\Rightarrow M \propto \frac{F_{\rm int}}{T}$$
$$L = 4\pi r^2 \sigma_{\rm SB} T^4$$

On **clump** scale, full SED can be measured from Hi-GAL (Herschel)

 $\Rightarrow$  Luminosity is directly determined by integrating over SED

 $\Rightarrow$  Mass can be determined since dust temperature is known from SED

On **core** scales neither the luminosities nor the dust temperatures can be measured directly

Proxy: temperatures through lines



## Goal

Provide robust temperatures from spectral lines to reconstruct reliable mass, temperature, and luminosity distributions for embedded protostellar cores in a statistically significant sample of Galactic high-mass star forming clumps

- Uncertainty in core mass functions, luminosities for radiative heating
- Cores are not co-eval within a clump: local evolution and heating is important
- **Understanding cluster evolution:** age distribution, disruption by feedback
- **Comparison with simulations:** temperature/luminosity distribution of embedded cores



# This talk: two parts

1. The Spectral Line Temperature catalogue for ALMAGAL continuum cores

What I will present: the temperatures from CH<sub>3</sub>CN toward 1000 detected protostellar cores; implied luminosities of the protostellar cores<sup>\*</sup>

What I want to convince you of: we can do advanced spectral line fitting for large samples; transferring between dust and gas is not straightforward; we really **shouldn't** use discrete components for cores

1. Beyond core boundaries: structure recovery of high-mass protostellar core envelopes

What I will present: the proposed methods to move beyond cores into continuous functions; our prototype ML results; our ongoing supercomputing ML project

What I want to convince you of: we can do better; the information already available in observations is sufficient to do better; we need can evolve our tools alongside our data availability

Part I: The ALMAGAL Spectral Line Core **Temperature Catalogue**  $\mathbb{B} \bigotimes \mathbb{O} \otimes \otimes \mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}$ 432 

**Temperature determination** 

# The ALMAGAL temperature tracers

Come and talk to me about suitability of temperature tracers

**Ethanol** 

**HNCO** 



**Temperature determination** 

# The ALMAGAL temperature tracers

 $\rm CH_3CN$  concentrated toward cores

 $H_2CO, CH_3OH$  more widespread\*

 May peak toward cores in higher excitation energy lines

Use CH<sub>3</sub>CN preferentially where available

ALMA-IMF Brouillet et al. (2022): CH $_3$ CN core tracer, CH $_3$ CCH outer layers

Moment maps and emission distributions: Minnini et al. (in prep)



Come and talk to me about outflow identification in ALMAGAL

## **Temperature determination**

# Jones et al. (in prep.) XCLASS ALMAGAL pipeline

- Spectra extracted for each core over FWHM ellipse
- Simultaneous fitting of multiple species/components
- Opacity corrections and sub-beam source description
- Multiple components:
  - Velocity, excitation, density, line-of-sight
- Accelerated fitting and optimisation
  - Error estimation with MCMC
- Links to most modern, routinely improved databases: CDMS, VAMDC

https://xclass.astro.uni-koeln.de (Thomas Möller et al.)

Continuum core catalogue: Coletta et al. (in prep) Source velocities: M. Benedettini et al.; Molinari et al. (in prep)





# **Example: a 500K source**

high optical depth in low-k transitions





CH3CN detection statistics in plots: S/N and peak intensity of all sources



Jones et al. (in prep.)



CH<sub>3</sub>CN 12-11:

k=0: 60 K k = 3: 125 K

k = 6: 320 K k = 8: 510 K

# CH3CN detection statistics in numbers of cores

Of the 6286 cores extracted:

**Detections** 

21.2% (1335 cores) are detected in CH<sub>3</sub>CN above 5-sigma

Of the 837 fields with at least one continuum core extracted:

43% of all fields have at least one core detected in CH<sub>3</sub>CN

Jones et al. (in prep.)







# CH3CN detection statistics: which clumps?

Jones et al. (in prep.)





## CH3CN detection statistics: core continuum properties



Red: detected Blue: not detected

Of the 837 fields with at least one continuum core extracted:

43% of all fields have at least one core detected in  $\rm CH_{3}CN$ 

Selects brightest continuum cores but samples most of range except very faintest

No correlation between core temperature assigned from clump-scale assumptions with the S/N in any in  $CH_3CN$  transition

$$\Rightarrow M \propto \frac{F_{\rm int}}{T}$$
$$L = 4\pi r^2 \sigma_{\rm SB} T^4$$

Jones et al. (in prep.)

## **Results: Core temperatures**

## Temperature distribution of cores: single component fits for >15-sigma sources

PRELIMINARY



Jones et al. (in prep.)

Minimum temperature of 39 K

Maximum temperature of 624 K

Median temperature of 152 K

Most sources detected well in CH3CN are between 100 - 200 K with high temperature tail

Threshold on S/N set to exclude low signal to noise sources with large temperature uncertainties limits provided for these sources



CORE: Gieser et al. (2021)

- 80 to 240K

## Temperature distribution of cores: single component fits for >15-sigma sources

PRELIMINARY



# Core temperature with continuum flux

PRELIMINARY



# Core temperature with continuum flux

PRELIMINARY



# Luminosities: as a function of clump luminosity

PRELIMINARY



$$L = 4\pi r^2 \sigma_{\rm SB} T^4$$

Sub-beam sources sizes only Left: continuum source size Right: fitted source size Coloured points: brightest in each field

Blue lines (decreasing saturation): 1x, 10x, 100x

Jones et al. (in prep.) - or maybe not...

## Solving the luminosity problem: transferring line temperatures to continuum dust temperatures in cores

Multi-component fits with welldefined sub-beam source sizes

(B. Jones)

Minimum source size from assuming an optically thick surface



## Radiative transfer modelling

(1D: S. Molinari; 3D: P. Schilke/B. Jones with S. Kesselheim/F. Niesel @ FZJ)

Other causes of discrepancy also addressed

Decoupling between dust and gas temperatures

Radiation leakage/absorption as a function of size scale

Extremely non-spherical geometries

(P. Schilke)

### Radial profiles from map fitting

(B. Jones)

Comparison with simulations incl. radiative feedback

(B. Zimmermann)

Measure sub-beam source sizes with high-resolution disk data

(PIA. Ahmadi, P. Schilke)

## Solving the luminosity problem: transferring line temperatures to continuum dust temperatures in cores

Multi-component fits with welldefined sub-beam source sizes

(B. Jones)



**Radiative transfer modelling** 

(1D: S. Molinari; 3D: P. Schilke/B. Jones with S. Kesselheim/F. Niesel @ FZJ)

"Averages" break down due to unresolved source structure with steep profiles and high opacities. Transferring between size scales requires radiative transfer modelling of source structure.

(P. Schilke)



Decoupling between dust and gas temperatures

Radial profiles from map fitting

(B. Jones)

Comparison with simulations incl. radiative feedback

(B. Zimmermann

Measure sub-beam source sizes with high-resolution disk data

(PI A. Ahmadi, P. Schilke)

Radiation leakage/absorption as a function of size scale

Extremely non-spherical geometries

## 1D radiative transfer modelling

Gives conversion between line temperature and size scale and mass-averaged temperature on continuum size scale

Can run grids and models for representative sources with realistic runtime

More sources available than mapping

Still relies on single LoS component fits (not true 3D for profiles)

Assumes that size scale and temperature returned from discrete component fit are connected

Requires at least one component to be optically thick with well defined source size



## **Temperature maps**

Gives line temperature estimate as function of radius

Possible to implement in realistic runtime

Low resolution required for signal-to-noise per pixel

Still relies on single LoS component fits (not true 3D for profiles)

Unusual to have very widespread emission in multiple  $CH_3CN$  transitions

CORE: Gieser et al. (2021) - line radial profiles + dust maps - power law index -2



## 

Part II: Beyond core boundaries: structure recovery of high-mass protostellar core envelopes

4.7 H 17

1. S. C.

- (q.)

( `\* ) ·)

(S)

19

3

6

(\*))

1. St.

**3D radiative transfer modelling** 

## Solving the luminosity problem: transferring line temperatures between scales within cores

$$L = 4\pi r^2 \sigma_{\rm SB} T^4$$

In core interiors, densities are sufficiently high that total emitted radiation is no longer proportional to total radiation in each interior surface for dense interiors (c.f. the Sun)

Ongoing JSC project: 3D radiative transfer modelling

(P. Schilke/B. Jones with S. Kesselheim/F. Niesel @ FZJ)



P. Schilke - 3D self consistent models with RADMC-3D



# Precision astrophysics: source structure recovery

Assumptions of uniformity break down at core scales and densities (e.g. optical depth, strong gradients, no coeval cores in a clump) Current methods for high-precision **modelling is prohibitively computationally expensive** for core densities/gradients

**Temperature uncertainty limits accuracy of property distributions** (e.g. CMF), and is also unquantified Observational **boundary definition inhibits comparison simulations** 

# 

# Precision astrophysics: source structure recovery

Assumptions of uniformity break down at core scales and densities (e.g. optical depth, strong gradients, no coeval cores in a clump)

Model each core with continuous functions - XCLASS CubeFit

**Temperature uncertainty limits accuracy of property distributions** (e.g. CMF), and is also unquantified

Calibration + uncertainty between discrete line and mass-averaged temperatures - radiative transfer models, MCMC error estimation

Current methods for high-precision **modelling is prohibitively computationally expensive** for core densities/gradients

Amortised machine learning methods

Observational **boundary definition inhibits comparison simulations** 

Define continuous function in observations and simulations; parameterise 3D structure + line of sight variation

# 

# Precision astrophysics: source structure recovery

**Assumptions of uniformity break down at core scales** and densities (e.g. optical depth, strong gradients, no coeval cores in a clump) Current methods for high-precision **modelling is prohibitively computationally expensive** for core densities/gradients

Model each core with continuous functions - XCLASS

Amortised machine learning methods

# These limitations can all be addressed with the currently available data by developing techniques to all the information available in both the spectral and spatial domain simultaneously

**Iemperature uncertainty limits accuracy of property distributions** (e.g. CMF), and is also unquantified

Calibration + uncertainty between discrete line and mass-averaged temperatures - radiative transfer models, MCMC error estimation

observational boundary definition inhibits comparison simulations

Define continuous function in observations and simulations; parameterise 3D structure + line of sight variation

# Prototype ML: fitting source structure in 3D



Machine learning project to test model inversion from information encoded in spectral and spatial dimensions available in observations

Power-law density and temperature profiles (central plateau)

Sampling in three radially sampled spectra

generator

# Prototype ML: fitting source structure in 3D



33

# Self-consistent radiative transfer models

Training set of full physical models suitable for science application

Plummer-like density profiles and a central irradiating source with RADMC-3D

10,000 au outer radius, variable plateau radius

Density fluctuations and large-scale density asymmetries, abundance variation

### Accompanying development:

Upgrade neural network for generalisation to observational data (MLP to CNN)

Comparison to XCLASS CubeFit incl. uncertainty estimation on parameters

SBI (Simulation Based Inference) to recover posterior distributions instead of point estimates

CubeFit results for calibration against conventional methods



J. Beck - Prototype ML; T. Möller - accelerated XCLASS generator; S. Kesselheim, F. Niesel (FZJ); **P. Schilke - self consistent models with RADMC-3D**  **3D modelling: ML methods** 

# Self-consistent radiative transfer models





# Self-consistent radiative transfer models

# First application: spectral line temperature catalogue

Spectral line temperature catalogue: Models to calibrate between discrete component temperatures and true distribution + uncertainty

# Second application: ALMAGAL source structure recovery

Train network and apply to ALMAGAL data (with source selection criteria for suitability)



J. Beck - Prototype ML; T. Möller - accelerated XCLASS generator; S. Kesselheim, F. Niesel (FZJ); **P. Schilke - self consistent models with RADMC-3D** 

## **3D modelling: comparison to simulations**

Core definition to match the observations...



Leads: Birka Zimmermann, Flavia Santos do Amaral Synthetic observation scripts: Alvaro Sanchez-Monge

## **3D modelling: comparison to simulations**

... and profile definition to match the simulations

 $m [M_{\odot}]$ 

Shown: fiducial run. Full set in Zimmermann et al. (subm.)



Colours: timesteps; profiles as **function of evolution** Dotted lines: variation from observed **line of sight** 

Steepening with evolution in radial density and temperature profiles as function of model parameters

Radial profiles (and radially averaged profiles) of surface density, temperature from simulated cores -> radial profiles from observations



# Summary

- Large sample line fitting to over 1000 cores gives core temperatures between 60 and 600K for the ALMAGAL sample detected in CH<sub>3</sub>CN
  - Calibration to transfer to continuum + uncertainty measurement (MCMC) ongoing
- Radiative transfer modelling is required to calibrate between continuum and line temperatures for reliable mass and luminosity estimates on 1000au core scales
- We can do better, and ALMAGAL allows us to do transformational science in high-mass star formation
  - 3D structure recovery is possible for large samples through ML for model inversion
  - There **is** sufficient information in the observations
  - Statistics to untangle for **probability distributions** for parameters
  - We can move away from discrete objects and define a 3D parameterisation for comparison to simulations

Precision astrophysics by exploiting ALL the information in the data (not just skimming the top 5%)