How does Milky Way (local group) Star Formation talk to high-z?

(are there) Very Massive Stars in the Galaxy?

Actually in the schedule: How do the most massive stars form? (What bounds the IMF?)

Adam Ginsburg @ Puzzles of Star Formation May 2025

Context: Very Massive Star elemental feedback

Na-O anticorrelation is observed in globular clusters

(Bastian & Lardo 2018)





Abundance Value Solar $-0.51\substack{+0.05\\-0.05}$ $\log(C/O)$ -0.30 $^{\rm a} \log({\rm N/O})$ $-0.21^{+0.10}_{-0.11}$ -0.76Pascale+ 2023

Nitrogen-enhanced gas is seen in the z=2.37 "Sunburst Arc"



So what's really happening in their 1 pixel?

We don't have $10^6~M_{\odot}$ forming clusters in the Galaxy, but we have some big and dense ones.

Do we have any VMS? How do we check?

W51 Yoo+ subm



W49A de Pree+ 2021

Sgr B2: Our Galaxy's densest clusters HII regions are pressure-bound (Meng+ 2022)

0.05 pc

0.05 pc

VLAQ + ALMA B3/B6

Ionizing Stars & still-growing MYSOs live together



HMYSOs

Accreting >20 M_☉ YSO

W51

Inflow

Sgr B2

HCHII region: Not Accreting ALMA CO outflow, continuum

VLA Q + ALMA B3/B6

Fed-back material does not all escape

Water Masers show where the SiO outflow impacts the infalling material

(the outflow is low-ish velocity)

Sgr B2N (right): Budaiev+ in prep Schwörer+ 2019

Elsewhere: Towner+ 2024





So (where) are there VMS?

 η Car's explosion includes some nuclear-burnt products

...but it blew up into a vacuum.

Enriched wind only matters if it goes into star-forming gas.





Thomas Henning, EPoS 2018, 2022, 2024, paraphrased: "But what about the really massive stars?"

While current IMF determinations may still leave some room for variations under extreme conditions (Kroupa 2001), there is significant consensus that the shape of the IMF is nearly universal among galactic regions, with only possible differences at substellar masses (see Bastian et al. 2010 and Offner et al. 2014 for reviews). Even a persistent discrepancy between the IMF of Taurus and of massive clusters like the Orion Nebula Cluster, which suggested an stellar excess around 0.8 M_{\odot} in Taurus (Briceño et al. 2002), has been recently resolved when the new and more complete Gaia data have been used, suggesting a lack of IMF variations across a range of stellar densities between 3-4 orders of magnitude (Luhman 2018). This universality of the IMF, together with its simple form that includes a single power law connecting the low- and high-mass regimes, suggests that the formation of stars of different masses results from a continuous non bimodal process.

Highest-mass star dynamically measured (VMS = $80 + M_{\odot}$)

G17.64+0.16 45+/-10 M_☉ star 1-4 M_☉ disk Maud+ 201{8,9}



50

40

30

20

10

Velocity (km/s)

(d)

7

6

5

mJy/beam

3

2

Is star formation in dense, massive clusters qualitatively different from "distributed" star formation?

(I think so)

Q: Can high-mass cores form "on their own", or do they need help from neighbors?

"Cooperative accretion" scenario: lonizing star grew an unfragmented core, then moved away or hit L_{Edd} .

The leftover core forms a big(ger?) star.

Do VMS (M>50) form (only) this way?

Is star formation in dense, massive clusters qualitatively different from "distributed" star formation?

Collisions

Many stars. Small volume.

Smash, boom. This definitely happens.

Do VMS (M>50) form (only) this way? What nuclear products get spread?

Are there chemical signatures of VMS?

CNO cycle

Ne-Na cycle

Mg-Al cycle

or are they always confused with mergers?

salted disk (outflow) gallery

Many observational & theoretical questions

- Overarching: Is M_{max} environmentally dependent?
- Do VMS feed nuclear-processed material to their neighbors?
- Are there observable [not UV/optical] chemical tags for VMS?
 - Do YSO mergers make those same tags?
- Are mergers needed for VMS formation?