## **Star Formation – local processes**

Christoph Federrath Ringberg – 26 June 2013





Australian Government

Australian Research Council



### **Universal star formation "law"?**



**Galactic clouds** (Heiderman+10; see also Lada+10, Guthermuth+11)

## **Star Formation – Local processes**



(see also Matzner & McKee 2002)

# **Star Formation – Radiation**

#### Radiation: (Dale+05, Krumholz+07, Bate 09)

- Important on small scales and at high density
- High-mass stars  $\rightarrow$  Ionization  $\rightarrow$  Turbulence (J. Dale's talk)
- Outflows unlikely driven by ionization (Peters et al. 2012)





## Star Formation – Magnetic fields

Reduce fragmentation (Hennebelle & Tyessier 2008, Bürzle+08, Federrath & Klessen 2012)



Drive jets / outflows (Banerjee & Pudritz 2006, Seifried et al. 2012, Moraghan et al. 2013)



## Star Formation – Jets / Outflows

#### Jets / outflows:

DIRECT

Reduce



### **INDIRECT**

#### **Drive turbulence**

1) Further reduce core mass 2) Trigger SF

Orion BN/KL

Bally et al. (from A. Ginsburg thesis)



### Cygnus X: Schneider et al. (2011)



Federrath – RSF2013 – Ringberg – 26/06/2013

of thermal HII regions, the well-known star-forming regions DR21 and \$106 are labelled

## Turbulence driving – solenoidal versus compressive

### "Turbulence in a box"

- 3D, periodic boundary conditions
- Isothermal gas:  $P = c_s^2 \rho$
- Driven to supersonic speeds (Mach 2 50)
- Large-scale Forcing Term f



e.g., Vazquez 1994, Padoan+1997, Passot+1998, Stone+1998, Mac Low 1999, Klessen+2000, Ostriker+2001, Heitsch+2001, Cho+2002, Boldyrev+2002, Li+2003, Haugen+2004, Padoan+2004, Jappsen+2005, Ballesteros+2006, Mee+Brandenburg 2006, Kritsuk+2007, Dib+2008, Offner+2008, Kowal+2008, Schmidt+2009, Cho+2009, Lemaster+2009, Glover+2010, Burkhart+2010, Price+2011, DelSordo+2011, Collins +2012, Walch+2012, Scannapieco+2012, Pan+2012, Robertson+2012, +++

## Turbulence driving – solenoidal versus compressive

Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process (stochastic process with autocorrelation time)  $\rightarrow$  forcing varies smoothly in space and time,

following a well defined random process

#### **Solenoidal forcing**

**Compressive forcing** 



## Turbulence driving – solenoidal versus compressive

### Column Density

Movies available: http://www.ita.uni-heidelberg.de/~chfeder/pubs/supersonic/supersonic.shtml



Compressive forcing produces stronger density enhancements

Federrath – RSF2013 – Ringberg – 26/06/2013

(Federrath 2013, arXiv:1306.3989)



### gas density PDF

PDFs are close to log-normals:

$$p_s \,\mathrm{d}s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_s^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(s-\langle s \rangle)^2}{2\sigma_s^2}\right] \,\mathrm{d}s$$

 $s \equiv \ln\left(\rho/\rho_0\right)$ 

Vazquez-Semadeni (1994) Padoan et al. 1997, Ostriker et al. (2001)

$$\sigma_s^2 = \ln\left(1 + b^2 \mathcal{M}^2\right)$$

b = 1/3 (sol) b = 1 (comp)

Federrath+08,10; Price+11, Konstandin+12



#### → Column density PDFs are near log-normal distributions

### Comparison with observations: Goodman, Pineda, & Schnee (2009)





Mach number AND forcing:

 $\sigma_s^2 = \ln\left(1 + b^2 \mathcal{M}^2\right)$ 



**Compressive forcing and/or gravity required to explain observations** 

## The dense gas mass fraction

#### Kainulainen et al. (2013)



Sequence: Starless  $\rightarrow$  Star-forming (nearby)  $\rightarrow$  IRDCs



- Kennicutt-Schmidt relation (Elmegreen 02, Krumholz & McKee 05, Tassis 07)
- Star Formation Rate (Krumholz & McKee 05, Padoan & Nordlund 11, Renaud et al. 12)

### All based on integrals over the turbulent density PDF

$$\mathrm{SFR}_{\mathrm{ff}} = \frac{\epsilon_{\mathrm{core}}}{\phi_t} \int_{x_{\mathrm{crit}}}^{\infty} x p(x) \, dx$$

Krumholz & McKee (2005), Padoan & Nordlund 2011; Hennebelle & Chabrier (2011) Federrath – RSF2013 – Ringberg – 26/06/2013



Hennebelle & Chabrier (2011) : "multi-freefall model"

# $p(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_s^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(s-s_0)^2}{2\sigma_s^2}\right)$ **Statistical Theory for the** Star Formation Rate: $s = \ln(\rho/\rho_0) \qquad t_{\rm ff}(\rho) = \left(\frac{3\pi}{32G\rho}\right)^{1/2}$ freefall mass SFR ~ Mass/time time fraction SFR<sub>ff</sub> = $\epsilon \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{t_{\rm ff}(\rho_0)}{t_{\rm ff}(\rho)} \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} p(s) \,\mathrm{d}s = \epsilon \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{3}{2}s\right) p(s) \,\mathrm{d}s$ $= \frac{\epsilon}{2} \exp\left(\frac{3}{8}\sigma_s^2\right) \left| 1 + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\sigma_s^2 - s_{\operatorname{crit}}}{\sqrt{2\sigma^2}}\right) \right|$

Hennebelle & Chabrier (2011) : "multi-freefall model"



 $SFR_{ff} = SFR_{ff} (\alpha_{vir}, b, \mathcal{M})$ 

forcing  $2E_{kin}/E_{qrav}$ Mach number





~0

М

100







## The Star Formation Rate – Magnetic fields

Statistical Theory for the  
Star Formation Rate:  

$$p(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_s^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(s-s_0)^2}{2\sigma_s^2}\right)$$

$$s = \ln(\rho/\rho_0) \quad t_{\rm ff}(\rho) = \left(\frac{3\pi}{32G\rho}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$s = \ln(\rho/\rho_0) \quad t_{\rm ff}(\rho) = \left(\frac{$$

Federrath - RSF2013 - Ringberg - 26/06/2013

## The Star Formation Rate – Magnetic fields



Convergence with

#### **Compare simulations with**

- cloud masses of  $300 4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$
- solenoidal, mixed, and compressive forcing
- sonic Mach numbers 3 50
- Alfvén Mach numbers 1 infinity









Application to Extra-Galactic Star Formation

### **Extra-Galactic Star Formation**





Krumholz, Dekel, McKee (2012)

divide  $\Sigma_{gas}$  by local freefall time  $t_{ff}$ 

### **Extra-Galactic Star Formation**



Federrath - RSF2013 - Ringberg - 26/06/2013

Federrath (2013, submitted)

Star Formation Rate (SFR) from supersonic, magnetized turbulence:

- MHD turbulence is key for star formation (see e.g., Federrath & Klessen 2012)
- SFR<sub>(compressive forcing)</sub> > 10×SFR<sub>(solenoidal forcing)</sub>
- SFR as integral over density distribution (PDF) depends on
  - virial parameter
  - turbulent forcing parameter
  - sonic Mach number
  - plasma beta
- Magnetic fields reduce SFR, consistent with theoretical model prediction
- Good agreement between theory, simulations and observations