# What shapes galaxy SEDs?

# What shapes galaxy SEDs?

- Stars
- Dust
- Gas

#### Key papers

- Kennicutt 1998; Worthey 1994; Bell & de Jong 2001; Condon 1992; Bell 2003; Calzetti 2001
- Osterbrock's book...

#### 1/2-2/3 of all energy comes out in IR

Both optical and IR have Combination of

~black body + narrower features



Heidelberg March-April 2008

#### Stars

- Stellar masstemperatureluminositylifetime relation
  - High mass short lived & luminous
  - Low-mass long lived & lowerluminosity



Heidelberg March-April 2008

### Mix of stellar spectra

#### Flux = double integral

- Star formation /chemical enrichment history (getting from single stellar populations with ages and metallicities to the composite SED)
- Over stellar initial mass function
  - From stellar spectra --> stellar population spectrum
  - N dm ∝f(m)
  - (m<sup>-2.35</sup> Salpeter IMF)





Heidelberg March-April 2008



All Gts

RGB

AII MS

4.5

L

AGB

4.5



can reasonably expect the models to fit. Fitting function errors or wavelength-dependent errors ought to show up in these diagrams if they are present.

Looking through the diagrams, one sees that the Fe4383 (Fig. 49) index shows no significant offset from the models. There is a fair amount of breadth to the model sequence because young populations have weak Fe4383. Notice that in most of these diagrams M31 lies close to the [Fe/H] = +0.25, age = 8 or 12 Gyr symbols. Ca4455 (Fig. 50) is also in agreement with the models, as is Fe4531 (Fig. 51). Although many galaxies are scattered away from the main locus owing to the presence of nebular emission in the blue pseudocontinuum of the Fe5015 index (Fig. 52), the median locus is well traced by the models. Recall that the galaxy sample is heterogeneous, and emission effects have not been corrected. Fe5335 (Fig. 53) is well matched by the models, but Fe5406 (Fig. 54) suffers from a problem with nebular emission like that of Fe5015. Most galaxies in the Fe5709 plot (Fig. 55) land on the model locus, but M31 does not by several  $\sigma$ . Although it is tempting to speculate on possible causes for such a significant deviation,

# Distinctive / important features

- 4000 angstrom break
- 1.6um bump (from a minimum in H- opacity; much of the opacity from stars is from H-)...
- Most important absorption lines
  - Balmer lines, metal lines

#### Sawicki 2002



Heidelberg March-April 2008

### Dust - key concepts

- Absorption of UV/optical photons (1/2 to 2/3 of all energy absorbed + re-emitted)
- Grains re-emit energy
- Grain size distribution
  - PAHs various benzene-style modes (very small, big molecules, band struc)
  - Very small grains transient heating
  - Larger grains eqm heating

#### Spectrum

#### Stolen from a talk by Brent Groves



Heidelberg March-April 2008

## Extinction

- Absorption and scattering
  - Thus, geometry is critical
- Optically-thick distributions behave less intuitively



Heidelberg March-April 2008 Draine 2003

#### Extinction

## Extinction curve is variable, esp in FUV Argues shocks / radiation field from nearby star formation - Gordon et al. 2003



#### Attenuation vs. extinction

- Excinction curve = for a star, absorption and scattering
- Attenuation curve = for a galaxy, a complicated mix of absorption, scattering and geometry
  - See e.g., Witt & Gordon 2000 for a discussion...



FIG. 17.—The spectra of the six templates are shown for increasing values of the extinction parameter  $\tau_B^l$ , from the bottom to the top of the figure.

for  $0.12 \ \mu m \le \lambda < 0.63 \ \mu m$ .

(8b)

# Simple toy model consideration

- - Motivation dust/gas ∝ metallicity
  - Total dust column ∝ gas column





Figure 1 The observed radio/FIR spectrum of M82 (Klein et al 1988, Carlstrom & Kronberg 1991) is the sum (solid line) of synchrotron (dot-dash line), free-free (dashed line), and dust (dotted line) components. The H II regions in this bright starburst galaxy start to become opaque below  $v \sim 1$  GHz, reducing both the free-free and synchrotron flux densities. The free-free component is largest only in the poorly observed frequency range 30–200 GHz. Thermal reradiation from  $T \sim 45$  K dust with opacity proportional to  $v^{1.5}$  swamps the radio emission at higher frequencies. Lower abscissa: frequency (GHz). Upper abscissa: wavelength (cm). Ordinate: flux density (Jy).

# **Emission lines**

#### Ionised gas

- Low-energy lines (<few keV) from SF</p>
- Higher-excitation lines (>10 keV) collisions and AGN



#### Summary...

Near-infrared dominated by long-lived stars Ultraviolet direct light from young stars

Thermal infrared dust-reprocessed light from young stars

Heidelberg March-April 2008

# The goal

- Transform observables
  - Spectrum (spatial resolved, wavelength coverage)
  - Into physical parameters
- Horribly ill-posed inversion problem
- Must make critical assumptions
  This is where the art of the field is...



and  $\xi(m) dm$  is the number of single stars in the mass interval m to m + am. The uncertainties correspond approximately to 99 per cent confidence intervals for  $m \gtrsim 0.5 M_{\odot}$  (Fig. 1), and to a 95 per cent confidence interval for  $0.1 - 0.5 M_{\odot}$  (KTG93). Below  $0.08 M_{\odot}$  the confidence range is not well determined.

## Colors

- Age / metallicity / dust degeneracy
- Wide wavelength range starts to help break degeneracies...
  - Ongoing SF vs. metallicity/age

#### Bell et al. 2003



Heidelberg March-April 2008

#### The challenges

#### The age-metallicity degeneracy:

 Young, metal-rich populations strongly resemble old, metal-poor populations.



# Some discrimination ...

#### Trager 2000





#### Stellar masses

Bell & de Jong 2001

 Flipside of age/met/dust degeneracy

Heidelberg March-April 2008



FIG. 2.— Trends in simple exponential SFH model stellar M/Ls with color. Stellar M/Ls for a Salpeter IMF in B (panels a and b) and K (panels c and d) of single metallicity exponentially declining star formation rate models from Bruzual & Charlot (2001) are shown against the model B-R (panels a and c) and I-K (panels b and d) broadband colors. Models of the same *e*-folding time scale  $\tau$  have been connected by solid lines, models of the same metallicity Z are connected by dashed lines.

#### Effects of bursts



FIG. 5.— Color–M/L relations in B (a) and K (b) for a sequence of exponential declining star formation rate solar metallicity models of age 12 Gyr with 10% mass fraction added in 0.5 Gyr star bursts. The solid line connects the exponential SFH models with different *e*-folding times scales  $\tau$ . The dotted lines connect models of the same  $\tau$  value, but with added star bursts occuring 1, 2, 3, 4 or 6 Gyr ago.

#### Normalisation : stellar IMF

 Normalisation depends on stellar IMF

#### Salpeter IMF

- too much mass in low-mass stars
- Chabrier / Kroupa 2001 OK...

Heidelberg March-April 2008



FIG. 6.— Observed K band maximum disk stellar M/Ls against de-reddened B-R color. The data are from K band imaging and HI rotation curves from Verheijen (1997, Chapter 6), rescaled to a distance of 20.7 Mpc (Sakai et al. 2000): the effect on the maximum disk M/Ls of a  $\pm 15\%$  Ursa Major Cluster distance error is also shown. Overplotted is the least-squares fit to the correlation between color and stellar M/L for the formation epoch with bursts model assuming a Salpeter (dashed line) and a scaled-down Salpeter IMF (solid line). We also show the RMS spread of the formation epoch with bursts model around the color-M/L relation on the solid line as an error bar. NGC 4085 is highlighted: it has a poorly resolved rotation curve, which biases the maximum disk M/L downwards. Symbol size is coded by inclination-corrected K band central surface brightness.

#### Introduction

Star formation in disks

Transformation of disks to early types

Scaling relations

Summary and Outlook

# Stellar masses

- Assumption universal IMF
- Methods
  - SED fitting Spectrum fitting
     Comparison with dynamics: ar0 1 day coatto
  - Comparison with dynamics: ~0.1 dex scatter



## Example stellar M/L calibns

Color	$a_g$	$b_g$	$a_r$	$b_r$	$a_i$	$b_i$	$a_z$	$b_z$	aj	bj	$a_H$	$b_H$	$a_K$	$b_K$
u-g	-0.221	0.485	-0.099	0.345	-0.053	0.268	-0.105	0.226	-0.128	0.169	-0.209	0.133	-0.260	0.123
u-r	-0.390	0.417	-0.223	0.299	-0.151	0.233	-0.178	0.192	-0.172	0.138	-0.237	0.104	-0.273	0.091
u-i	-0.375	0.359	-0.212	0.257	-0.144	0.201	-0.171	0.165	-0.169	0.119	-0.233	0.090	-0.267	0.077
u-z	-0.400	0.332	-0.232	0.239	-0.161	0.187	-0.179	0.151	-0.163	0.105	-0.205	0.071	-0.232	0.056
g-r	-0.499	1.519	-0.306	1.097	-0.222	0.864	-0.223	0.689	-0.172	0.444	-0.189	0.266	-0.209	0.197
g-i	-0.379	0.914	-0.220	0.661	-0.152	0.518	-0.175	0.421	-0.153	0.283	-0.186	0.179	-0.211	0.137
g-z	-0.367	0.698	-0.215	0.508	-0.153	0.402	-0.171	0.322	-0.097	0.175	-0.117	0.083	-0.138	0.047
r-i	-0.106	1.982	-0.022	1.431	0.006	1.114	-0.052	0.923	-0.079	0.650	-0.148	0.437	-0.186	0.349
r-z	-0.124	1.067	-0.041	0.780	-0.018	0.623	-0.041	0.463	-0.011	0.224	-0.059	0.076	-0.092	0.019
Color	$a_B$	$b_B$	$a_V$	$b_V$	$a_R$	$b_R$	$a_I$	$b_I$	aj	$b_J$	$a_H$	$b_H$	$a_K$	$b_K$
B-V	-0.942	1.737	-0.628	1.305	-0.520	1.094	-0.399	0.824	-0.261	0.433	-0.209	0.210	-0.206	0.135
B-R	-0.976	1.111	-0.633	0.816	-0.523	0.683	-0.405	0.518	-0.289	0.297	-0.262	0.180	-0.264	0.138

TABLE A7 Stellar M/L ratio as a function of color

Note. — Stellar M/L ratios are given by  $\log_{10}(M/L) = a_{\lambda} + (b_{\lambda} \times \text{Color})$  where the M/L ratio is in solar units. If *all* galaxies are sub-maximal then the above zero points  $(a_{\lambda})$  should be modified by subtracting an IMF dependent constant as follows: 0.15 dex for a Kennicutt or Kroupa IMF, and 0.4 dex for a Bottema IMF. Scatter in the above correlations is ~ 0.1 dex for all optical M/L ratios, and 0.1–0.2 dex for NIR M/L ratios (larger for galaxies with blue optical colors). SDSS filters are in AB magnitudes; Johnson BVR and JHK are in Vega magnitudes.



# Gas metallicities

Using OIII 4363 to get effective temperature, electron density from OII, then can solve for metallicity using strong lines

#### Strong line methods -R23 = (OII + OIII)/Hbeta







FIG. 1.— The mass-metallicity relation using the 10 different metallicity calibrations listed in Table []. The red line shows the robust best-fitting 3rd-order polynomial to the data. The blue circles give the median metallicity within stellar mass bins of  $\Delta \log(M/M_{\odot}) = 0.2$ , centered at  $\log(M/M_{\odot}) = 8.6, 8.8, ..., 11$ . We use the updated calibration of P05 given by Pilyugin & Thuan (2005) in panel 9. The original P01 calibration is shown as a solid green line in panel 9.

Kewley & Ellison 2008

#### **Electron temperature**

Ratio of 4363 to 4959+5007 gives a measure of electron temperature



OIII

4959+5007 / 4363 =7.73 e <sup>33000/T</sup> / (1 + 0.00045 N<sub>e</sub>/sqrt(T))

Heidelberg March-April 2008

# Gas conditions, continued

#### Electron density (e.g., OII)...

- Two levels with almost same energy difference but different angular momenta and different radiative transition probabilities.
- At low N<sub>e</sub>, every excitation has to de-excite with a photon, so transition probabilities don't matter
- At high Ne, most de-excitations are from collisions, so transition probabilities matter very much indeed...

<u>Ĵ</u> λ3729	$N_{^{2}D_{6/2}}A_{\lambda 3729}$	$-\frac{34.2\times10^{-5}}{-0.35}$	(4.1)
Ĵλ3726	$N_{^2D_{3/2}} A_{\lambda 3726}$	$\frac{1}{21.8 \times 10^{-4}} = 0.00$	(4.1)

Heidelberg March-April 2008

# Gas metallicities

Using OIII 4363 to get effective temperature, electron density from OII, then can solve for metallicity using strong lines

#### Strong line methods -R23 = (OII + OIII)/Hbeta







FIG. 1.— The mass-metallicity relation using the 10 different metallicity calibrations listed in Table []. The red line shows the robust best-fitting 3rd-order polynomial to the data. The blue circles give the median metallicity within stellar mass bins of  $\Delta \log(M/M_{\odot}) = 0.2$ , centered at  $\log(M/M_{\odot}) = 8.6, 8.8, ..., 11$ . We use the updated calibration of P05 given by Pilyugin & Thuan (2005) in panel 9. The original P01 calibration is shown as a solid green line in panel 9.

Kewley & Ellison 2008

# Star formation rates

- UV / reprocessed UV light (IR; can be heated through light from older stars)
- Measuring number of free electrons (ionising flux from v. hot stars)
  - Recombination lines Halpha, Pa alpha, etc.
  - Thermal radio flux
- Synchrotron (indirect; measures cosmic ray density and mag. field strength)

#### intercomparison



Heidelberg March-April 2008

### **Radio-FIR correlation**



# Simple toy model consideration

- - Motivation dust/gas ∝ metallicity
  - Total dust column ∝ gas column



#### Linear radio-FIR correlation: a conspiracy



FIG. 4.— Trends in  $q_{\text{TIR}}$  with galaxy luminosity. Panel *a*) shows  $q_{\text{TIR}}$  against *V*-band absolute magnitude, and panel *b*) shows  $q_{\text{TIR}}$  against TIR luminosity. Symbols are the same as in Fig. 2. The shaded area shows the upper and lower quartiles as a function of luminosity: this shows, in a less noisy fashion, any trends between  $q_{\text{TIR}}$  and galaxy luminosity. The effect of trends in TIR/FUV with luminosity are plotted as thin dotted (the limits on TIR/FUV as a function of luminosity) and thin solid (the model TIR/FUV with luminosity) lines. If radio SFR were a perfect SF rate indicator, there should be a trend in  $q_{\text{TIR}}$  which follows the general trend of the thin dotted and solid lines. The thick solid line shows the final model presented in §5. The thick dashed line in panel *b*) shows the trend predicted by the final SF rate calibrations (see §6.1).

# Calibrations; Kroupa 2001 or Chabrier 2003 IMF

- SFR ~ 9.8d-11 \* (IR + 2.2UV) Bell+05
- SFR ~ 9.8d-11 \* IR (1+sqrt[1d9/IR]) IR-only, Bell 03
- SFR\_radio ~ pi d-22 \* L\_1.4GHz
   [L> Lc = 6.4d21 W/Hz]
- SFR\_radio ~ pi d-22 \* L\_1.4GHz / (0.1+0.9\*(L/Lc)^0.3) [L<Lc]</li>
- SFR\_Ha = 5.3d-42 L\_Ha (ergs/s) Calzetti+07

#### Summary

#### Stars / dust / gas shape the SED

 Roughly 1/2 - 2/3 of all energy emitted by stars gets reprocessed by dust into the IR

#### Can be translated into physical parameters if one makes assumptions

- Stellar IMF
- Star formation / chemical history

#### Under these assumptions

- Masses to 30%
- SFRs to better than x2
- Metallicities to x2
- Ages hard; birthrates = SFR/(M\*/t<sub>hubble</sub>) easier

Heidelberg March-April 2008

## Stuff to have added

- Steps in going from electron / star number (luminosity) to a \*rate\* of star formation
- Luminosity weighting.

